

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	9/534,453	COWLES, ROGER	
	Examiner Lynda C Jasmin	Art Unit 3627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lynda C Jasmin. (3) Ross F. Hunt.
 (2) Robert Weinhardt. (4) Roger Cowles.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pool.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Cowles and Mr Hunt agree to rewrite the claim language to better define over the prior art of record Pool with proposed language of "receiving product information from vendors in a predefined standard format to create to a universal catalogue database". Further consideration would be require upon filing of an amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required